

LINK LAYER PROTOCOL PERFORMANCE OF INDOOR INFRARED WIRELESS COMMUNICATIONS

VASILEIOS VITSAS

A thesis submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements of
Bournemouth University for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

June 2002

Bournemouth University

LINK LAYER PROTOCOL PERFORMANCE OF INDOOR INFRARED WIRELESS COMMUNICATIONS

Vasileios Vitsas

ABSTRACT

The increasing deployment of portable computers and mobile devices leads to an increasing demand for wireless connections. Infrared presents several advantages over radio for indoor wireless connectivity but infrared link quality is affected by ambient infrared noise and by low power transmission levels due to eye safety limitations. The Infrared Data Association (IrDA) has developed the widely used IrDA 1.x protocol standard for short range, narrow beam, point to point connections. IrDA addressed the requirement for indoor multipoint connectivity with the development of the Advanced Infrared (AIr) protocol stack.

This work analyses infrared link layer design based on IrDA proposals for addressing link layer topics and suggests implementation issues and protocol modifications that improve the operation of short range infrared connections. The performance of optical wireless links is measured by the utilization, which can be drawn at the data link layer. A new mathematical model is developed that reaches a simple equation that calculates IrDA 1.x utilization. The model is validated by comparing its outcome with simulation results obtained using the OPNET modeler. The mathematical model is employed to study the effectiveness on utilization of physical and link layer parameters. The simple equation gives insights for the optimum control of the infrared link for maximum utilization. By differentiating the utilization equation, simple formulas are derived for optimum values of the window and frame size parameters. Analytical results indicate that significant utilization increase is observed if the optimum values are implemented, especially for high error rate links. A protocol improvement that utilizes special Supervisory frames (S-frames) to pass transmission control is proposed to deal with delays introduced by F-timer expiration. Results indicate that employing the special S-frame highly improves utilization when optimum window and frame size values are implemented. The achieved practical utilization increase for optimum parameter implementation is confirmed by means of simulation.

AIr protocol trades speed for range by employing Repetition Rate (RR) coding to achieve the increased transmission range required for wireless LAN connectivity. AIr employs the RTS/CTS medium reservation scheme to cope with hidden stations and CSMA/CA techniques with linear contention window (CW) adjustment for medium access. A mathematical model is developed for the AIr collision avoidance (CA) procedures and validated by comparing analysis with simulation results. The model is employed to examine the effectiveness of the CA parameters on utilization. By differentiating the utilization equation, the optimum CW size that maximises utilization as a function of the number of the transmitting stations is derived. The proposed linear CW adjustment is very effective in implementing CW values close to optimum and thus minimizing CA delays. AIr implements a Go-Back-N retransmission scheme at high or low level to cope with transmission errors. AIr optionally implements a Stop-and-Wait retransmission scheme to efficiently implement RR coding. Analytical models for the AIr retransmission schemes are developed and employed to compare protocol utilization for different link parameter values. Finally, the effectiveness of the proposed RR coding on utilization for different retransmission schemes is explored.

DEDICATION

Αφιερώνεται στην μητέρα μου Άννα
και στον πατέρα μου Κωνσταντίνο

PUBLICATIONS RESULTING FROM THESIS

I. Awards

- [1] V. Vitsas and A. C. Boucouvalas, 'IrDA IrLAP Protocol Throughput Performance Analysis for Optical Wireless Links', Proc. of 2nd International Workshop on Networked Appliances (IWNA 2000), Nov. 30- Dec. 1, 2000, Rutgers Univ., New Jersey, USA (**Best paper award**).

II. Journal publications

- [2] V. Vitsas and A. C. Boucouvalas, 'Optimisation of IrDA IrLAP Link Access Protocol', IEEE Journal on Selected Areas in Communications, accepted for publication.
- [3] V. Vitsas and A. C. Boucouvalas, 'Performance Analysis of the Advanced Infrared (AIr) CSMA/CA MAC Protocol for Wireless LANs', Wireless Networks, accepted for publication.
- [4] V. Vitsas and A.C. Boucouvalas, 'Automatic repeat request schemes for infrared wireless communications', IEE Electronics Letters, vol. 38, no. 5, pp. 244-246, 28th February 2002.
- [5] V. Vitsas and A.C. Boucouvalas, 'Simultaneous optimisation of window and frame size for maximum throughput IrDA links', IEE Electronics Letters, vol. 37, no. 16, pp. 1042-1043, 2nd August 2001.
- [6] A. C. Boucouvalas and V. Vitsas, 'Optimum window and frame size for IrDA links', IEE Electronics Letters, vol. 37, no. 3, pp. 194-196, 1st February 2001.
- [7] P. Barker, A.C. Boucouvalas and V. Vitsas, 'Performance modelling of the IrDA infrared wireless communications protocol', International Journal of Communication Systems, vol. 13, pp. 589-604, 2000.
- [8] P. Barker, V. Vitsas and Boucouvalas A.C., 'Simulation analysis of the Advanced Infrared (AIr) MAC wireless communications protocol', accepted for publication in IEE Proceedings Circuits and Systems.

III. International conference refereed publications

- [9] V. Vitsas and A. C. Boucouvalas, 'Effectiveness of packet level acknowledgement in infrared wireless LANs', IEEE 55th Vehicular

- Technology Conference 2002, VTC Spring 2002, vol. 4, pp. 1814-1818, Birmingham, AL, May 6-9, 2002.
- [10] V. Vitsas and A. C. Boucouvalas, 'Performance analysis of the collision avoidance procedure of the Advanced Infrared (AIr) CSMA/CA protocol for wireless LANs', IEEE 55th Vehicular Technology Conference 2002, VTC Spring 2002, vol. 3, pp. 1502-1506, Birmingham, AL, May 6-9, 2002.
 - [11] V. Vitsas and A. C. Boucouvalas, 'Effectiveness of Selective Reject (SREJ) Automatic Repeat Request (ARQ) scheme with RR-coding in infrared wireless LANs', accepted for publication in CSNDSP 2002, July 15-17, 2002, Staffordshire, UK.
 - [12] V. Vitsas and A.C. Boucouvalas, 'Window and frame size adaptivity for maximum throughput in IrDA links', Proc. of the 3rd Electronic Circuits and Systems Conference, pp. 147-152, Slovak University of Technology in Bratislava, Bratislava, Slovakia, September 5-7, 2001.
 - [13] V. Vitsas and A. C. Boucouvalas, 'Performance evaluation of IrDA Advanced Infrared AIr-MAC Protocol', Proc. of the 5th Multi-Conference on Systemics, Cybernetics, and Informatics, vol. IV, pp. 347-352, Orlando, USA, 2001.
 - [14] V. Vitsas and A.C. Boucouvalas, 'Performance Analysis of the AIr-MAC optical wireless protocol', International Conference on System Engineering, Communications and Information Technologies, (ICSECIT 2001), Department of Electrical Engineering, University of Magallanes, Punta Arenas, Chile, April 16-19, 2001.
 - [15] V. Vitsas and A.C. Boucouvalas, 'Throughput analysis of the IrDA IrLAP optical wireless link access protocol', Proc. of the 3rd Conference on Telecommunications (ConfTele 2001), pp. 225-229, April 23-24, 2001, Figueira da Foz, Portugal.
 - [16] A. C. Boucouvalas and V. Vitsas, '100 Mb/s IrDA protocol performance evaluation', IASTED International Conference on Wireless and Optical Communications, (WOC 2001), June 27-29, 2001 Banff, Canada, pp. 49-57.

NOTE: Most of these publications are available at:

<http://dec.bournemouth.ac.uk/staff/tboucouvalas/acbpub.htm>

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I would like to acknowledge the advice, encouragement and support I received from my supervisor, Prof. Anthony Boucouvalas, throughout the duration of my project. Although he was usually very busy, he was always able to find a few (long) minutes to discuss issues of my project. I also thank him for giving me the freedom to follow aspects of my own research interests in this project.

I would also like to thank Achilleas Arslanoglou, Andonis Vafiadis and Dimitris Kleftouris for their constant encouragement, and my friends in Bournemouth, Dimitris Vikeloudas , Periklis Chatzimisios and Angeliki Chrevatidis for their friendship and for the relaxing moments when playing biriba.

Last but not least, I would like to thank Martha and my mother for their patience and support over these years.

CONTENTS

Abstract.....	ii
Dedication.....	iii
Publications resulting from thesis.....	iv
Acknowledgments	vi
Contents	vii
List of Figures.....	x
List of Tables	xiii
Abbreviations.....	xiv
1. Introduction	1
1.1 Motivation	1
1.2 Statement of the problem.....	2
1.3 Outline of research work	3
1.4 Thesis outline.....	5
2. Background...	7
2.1 Wireless indoor communications	8
2.2 Wireless PAN and LAN standards	11
2.2.1 Wireless PANs	12
2.2.1.1 IrDA 1.x	12
2.2.1.2 Bluetooth.....	13
2.2.2 Wireless LANs	14
2.2.2.1 HomeRF	14
2.2.2.2 HiperLAN	14
2.2.2.3 IEEE 802.11	15
2.2.2.4 IrDA AIr	16
2.3 Infrared versus radio	16
2.4 IR wireless communication systems.....	18
2.5 Challenges in IR link layer design.....	21
2.6 Modeling of communication systems.....	24
2.7 Performance measures	26
2.8 Research in IR wireless systems.....	28
3. Performance of Infrared Data Link Layer.....	30
3.1 IrDA 1.x protocol stack	30
3.2 IrLAP layer	32
3.3 Functional model description	35
3.4 IrLAP mathematical model.....	38
3.5 Model validation.....	42
3.5.1 Comparison with simulation	42
3.5.2 Comparison with existing analytical models	42
3.6 IrLAP performance evaluation	43
3.7 IrLAP performance for future high data rates	48
4. Optimization of Infrared Data Link Layer	51
4.1 Significance of F-timer time out period.....	52
4.2 Derivation of optimum values	55
4.2.1 Optimum window size for fixed frame size.....	55
4.2.2 Optimum frame size for fixed window size.....	56
4.2.3 Simultaneous optima window and frame sizes	59
4.3 IrLAP Performance for optimum value implementation.....	62
4.4 IrLAP S-frame modification combined with optimum values	64

4.5 Practical implementation of optimum values	68
5. Advanced Infrared Medium Access Control Layer.....	74
5.1 Architecture overview	74
5.2 AIr MAC frame formats	76
5.2.1 Robust Header (RH) fields	78
5.2.2 Main Body (MBR) fields	80
5.3 AIr MAC transfer modes	80
5.3.1 Unreserved transfer mode	81
5.3.2 Reserved transfer modes	81
5.3.2.1 Reserved transfer mode with DATA frame	81
5.3.2.2 Reserved transfer mode with acknowledgment	82
5.3.2.3 Reserved transfer mode with sequenced data	83
5.3.2.4 Reserved transfer mode with reliable multicast	83
5.4 Collision avoidance procedures	83
5.5 Physical layer service access point	87
5.6 AIr simulator using OPNET	88
5.7 Simulation results for the Unreserved transfer mode	89
5.8 Simulation results for the Reserved transfer mode with sequenced data	93
6. Advanced Infrared Collision Avoidance Procedures.....	95
6.1 Saturation utilization and parameter definitions	96
6.2 Analytical model	98
6.2.1 Transmission probability	99
6.2.2 Utilization analysis	104
6.3 Model validation	107
6.4 Performance evaluation	109
6.4.1 The effect of Contention Window (CW) size	109
6.4.2 Optimum CW size	110
6.4.3 CW adjustment algorithm	112
6.4.4 The effect of high RH RR value and TAT delay	116
7. Advanced Infrared Link Control Layer	119
7.1 Protocol definition	120
7.1.1 FLACK and FLACK-M definition	120
7.1.2 NoFLACK and NoFLACK-ACK definition	122
7.1.3 SEQ-NoFLACK definition	125
7.2. Protocol analysis	126
7.2.1 FLACK utilization	126
7.2.2 FLACK-M utilization	128
7.2.3 NoFLACK-ACK utilization	128
7.2.4 NoFLACK utilization	129
7.2.5 SEQ-NoFLACK utilization	130
7.3. Performance comparison	131
7.3.1 FLACK versus FLACK-M	131
7.3.2 NoFLACK versus NoFLACK-ACK	132
7.3.3 Comparison of FLACK-M, NoFLACK-ACK and SEQ-NoFLACK protocols	134
7.4. RR evaluation	137
7.5 Effectiveness of RR coding to protocol performance	141
7.5.1 FLACK-M protocol	141
7.5.2 NoFLACK-ACK protocol	142
7.5.3 SEQ-NoFLACK protocol	143
7.5.4 Performance evaluation	143

8. Conclusions and Suggestions for Future Research	146
8.1 Conclusions	146
8.1.1 Conclusions for the IrDA 1.x IrLAP	146
8.1.2 Conclusions for the AIr standard	148
8.2 Suggestions for future research	150
References	151

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2.1 WPAN applications	9
Figure 2.2 Wireless LAN configurations	10
Figure 2.3 Basic line of sight infrared link	19
Figure 2.4 Line of sight infrared communication	19
Figure 2.5 Non-line of sight infrared communication	19
Figure 2.6 Infrared wireless communication systems.....	20
Figure 2.7 RR-coding in 4-PPM transmission	22
Figure 2.8 The hidden station problem and the RTS/CTS frame exchange	23
Figure 3.1 The IrDA protocol architecture	31
Figure 3.2 IrDA SIR and FIR frame structure	33
Figure 3.3 Information transfer procedure	37
Figure 3.4 Determination of window transmission time t_w	39
Figure 3.5 Analysis versus simulation: Utilization against window size, $C=16\text{Mbit/s}$, $l=16\text{Kbits}$, $T_{max}=500\text{ms}$, $t_{ia}=0.1\text{ms}$. Simulation confidence interval=98%	43
Figure 3.6 Utilization versus BER for $t_{ia}=10\text{ms}$, $l=16\text{Kbits}$, $t_{Fout}=t_{Imax}+2t_{ia}$	44
Figure 3.7 Utilization versus BER for $C=16\text{Mbit/s}$, $l=16\text{Kbits}$, $t_{Fout}=t_{Imax}+2t_{ia}$	45
Figure 3.8 Utilization versus window size for $C=16\text{Mbit/s}$, $t_{ia}=0.1\text{ms}$, $l=16\text{Kbits}$, $t_{Fout}=t_{Imax}+2t_{ia}$	46
Figure 3.9 Utilization versus window size for $C=4\text{Mbit/s}$, $t_{ia}=10\text{ms}$, $l=16\text{Kbits}$, $t_{Fout}=t_{Imax}+2t_{ia}$	47
Figure 3.10 Time allocation of various IrLAP tasks versus BER, $C=16\text{Mbit/s}$, $l=16\text{Kbits}$, $W_{max}=127$ frames, $t_{ia}=0.1\text{ms}$, $t_{Fout}=t_{Imax}+2t_{ia}$	47
Figure 3.11 Utilization versus frame size for $C=16\text{Mbit/s}$, $t_{ia}=0.1\text{ms}$, $W_{max}=127$ frames, $t_{Fout}=t_{Imax}+2t_{ia}$	48
Figure 3.12 Utilization versus BER for $C=40\text{Mbit/s}$, $l=16\text{Kbits}$, $t_{Fout}=t_{Imax}+2t_{ia}$	49
Figure 3.13 Utilization versus BER for $C=100\text{Mbit/s}$, $l=16\text{Kbits}$, $t_{Fout}=t_{Imax}+2t_{ia}$	50
Figure 4.1 Time allocation of various IrLAP tasks against BER for N optimum, $t_{Fout}=500\text{ms}$, $C=16\text{Mbit/s}$, $l=16\text{Kbits}$, $t_{ia}=0.1\text{ms}$	53
Figure 4.2 Time allocation of various IrLAP tasks against BER for N optimum, $t_{Fout}=t_{Imax}+2t_{ia}$, $C=16\text{Mbit/s}$, $l=16\text{Kbits}$, $t_{ia}=0.1\text{ms}$	54
Figure 4.3 Determination of window transmission time t_{w-RR}	55
Figure 4.4 Optimum window size validation, $l=16\text{Kbits}$, $t_{Fout}=t_{Imax}+2t_{ia}$	57
Figure 4.5 Optimum frame size validation, $N=127$, $t_{Fout}=t_{Imax}+2t_{ia}$	58
Figure 4.6 Optimum window and frame size validation for $C=4\text{Mbit/s}$, $t_{ia}=0.1\text{ms}$, $t_{Fout}=t_{Imax}+2t_{ia}$	61
Figure 4.7 Utilization for simultaneous optima N and l , $C=4\text{Mbit/s}$, $t_{ia}=0.1\text{ms}$, $t_{Fout}=t_{Imax}+2t_{ia}$	61
Figure 4.8 Utilization against BER, $C=16\text{Mbit/s}$, $t_{ia}=0.1\text{ms}$, $t_{Fout}=t_{Imax}+2t_{ia}$	63
Figure 4.9 Time allocation of various IrLAP tasks against BER for simultaneous optima N and l , $C=16\text{Mbit/s}$, $t_{ia}=0.1\text{ms}$, $t_{Fout}=t_{Imax}+2t_{ia}$	63
Figure 4.10 Utilization against BER, $C=16\text{Mbit/s}$, $t_{ia}=0.01\text{ms}$, $t_{Fout}=t_{Imax}+2t_{ia}$	65
Figure 4.11 Time allocation of various IrLAP tasks against BER for simultaneous optima N and l , $C=16\text{Mbit/s}$, $t_{ia}=0.01\text{ms}$, $t_{Fout}=t_{Imax}+2t_{ia}$	65
Figure 4.12 Utilization against BER, $C=16\text{Mbit/s}$, $t_{ia}=0.1\text{ms}$, P-bit in S-frame	66
Figure 4.13 Time allocation of various IrLAP tasks against BER for simultaneous optima N and l , $C=16\text{Mbit/s}$, $t_{ia}=0.1\text{ms}$, P-bit in S-frame	66
Figure 4.14 Utilization against BER, $C=16\text{Mbit/s}$, $t_{ia}=0.01\text{ms}$, P-bit in S-frame	67
Figure 4.15 Time allocation of various IrLAP tasks against BER for simultaneous	

optima N and l , $C=16\text{Mbit/s}$, $t_{ia}=0.01\text{ms}$, P-bit in S-frame.....	67
Figure 4.16 Adaptive window and/or frame size scheme based on link BER evaluation.	69
Figure 4.17 Utilization comparison for implementing 11 window size values, $C=16\text{Mbit/s}$, $t_{ia}=0.1\text{ms}$, $l=16\text{Kbits}$, $t_{Fout}=t_{Imax}+2t_{ia}$	71
Figure 4.18 Utilization comparison for implementing 11 frame size values, $C=16\text{Mbit/s}$, $t_{ia}=0.1\text{ms}$, $N=127$, $t_{Fout}=t_{Imax}+2t_{ia}$	71
Figure 4.19 Optimum value comparison for implementing 11 window and frame size values, $C=16\text{Mbit/s}$, $t_{ia}=0.1\text{ms}$, $t_{Fout}=t_{Imax}+2t_{ia}$	72
Figure 4.20 Utilization comparison for implementing 11 window and frame size values, $C=16\text{Mbit/s}$, $t_{ia}=0.1\text{ms}$, $t_{Fout}=t_{Imax}+2t_{ia}$	73
Figure 5.1 AIr architecture overview	75
Figure 5.2 AIr prototype port on a US quarter dollar presented by IBM.....	76
Figure 5.3 AIr MAC frame definitions	78
Figure 5.4 AIr transfer modes	82
Figure 5.5 Operation of Collision Avoidance procedures	84
Figure 5.6. Physical layer Service Access Point primitives (a) frame reception (b) frame transmission	88
Figure 5.7. LAN simulation scenarios with stations employing the Unreserved transfer mode.....	89
Figure 5.8 Utilization versus n for fixed CW, $C=4\text{ Mbit/s}$, $l=16\text{Kbits}$, 1 UDATA station.....	90
Figure 5.9 Utilization versus n , $CW_{min}=8$, $CW_{max}=256$, $C=4\text{ Mbit/s}$, $l=16\text{ Kbits}$, 1 UDATA station.....	91
Figure 5.10 Utilization versus n , $CW_{min}=8$, $CW_{max}=256$, $C=4\text{ Mbit/s}$, $l=16\text{ Kbits}$, 2 UDATA stations	92
Figure 5.11 Utilization versus n for fixed CW, $C=4\text{ Mbit/s}$, $l=16\text{ Kbits}$, $w=4$	93
Figure 5.12 Utilization versus n , $C=4\text{ Mbit/s}$, $l=16\text{ Kbits}$	94
Figure 6.1. Stochastic processes $s(t)$ and $b(t)$	100
Figure 6.2 Markov Chain model for back off CW	101
Figure 6.3 Transmission (q_i) and collision (p_i) probabilities versus back off stage, $W=8$, $m=62$, $n=5$	107
Figure 6.4 Utilization: analysis versus simulation	108
Figure 6.5 Utilization versus n for fixed CW size, $l=16\text{Kbits}$, $w=4$, $C=4\text{Mbit/s}$, $RR=1$	109
Figure 6.6 Time allocation of various AIr tasks versus n for fixed CW size= 16 slots , $l=16\text{Kbits}$, $w=4$, $C=4\text{Mbit/s}$, $RR=1$	110
Figure 6.7 Utilization versus n for fixed CW size, $l=16\text{Kbits}$, $w=4$, $C=4\text{Mbit/s}$, $RR=1$	112
Figure 6.8 Utilization versus n , $l=16\text{Kbits}$, $W=8$, $m=62$, $C=4\text{Mbit/s}$, $RR=1$	113
Figure 6.9 Time allocation for various AIr tasks versus n , $l=16\text{Kbits}$, $w=1$, $W=8$, $m=62$, $C=4\text{Mbit/s}$, $RR=1$	114
Figure 6.10 Utilization versus n , $m=62$, $l=16\text{Kbits}$, $w=4$, $C=4\text{Mbit/s}$, $RR=1$	114
Figure 6.11 Utilization versus maximum backoff stage m , $W=1$, $l=16\text{Kbits}$, $w=4$, $C=4\text{Mbit/s}$, $RR=1$	115
Figure 6.12 Utilization versus n , $l=16\text{Kbits}$, $W=1$, $m=20$, $C=4\text{Mbit/s}$, $RR=1$	116
Figure 6.13 Time allocation of various AIr tasks versus n , $W=1$, $m=20$, $l=16\text{Kbits}$, $C=4\text{Mbit/s}$, $RR=1$	117
Figure 6.14 Utilization versus n , $W=1$, $m=62$, $l=16\text{Kbits}$, $w=1$, $C=4\text{Mbit/s}$, $RR=1$	118
Figure 7.1 FLACK protocol (SW ARQ at the MAC layer and GBN at the LC layer).	122
Figure 7.2 FLACK-M protocol (SW ARQ at the MAC layer and no ARQ scheme at the LC layer)	122

Figure 7.3 NoFLACK protocol (no ARQ at the MAC layer, GBN at the LC layer and P/F bit in DATA frame)	123
Figure 7.4 NoFLACK-ACK protocol (no ARQ at the MAC layer, GBN at the LC layer and P/F bit in ACK frame).....	123
Figure 7.5 SEQ-NoFLACK protocol (GBN at the MAC layer and no ARQ scheme at the LC layer)	125
Figure 7.6 Utilization versus frame error rate for various w values, $C=4\text{Mbit/s}$, $l=2\text{Kbytes}$, $T_t=5\text{sec}$, $W=8$, $m=62$, $n=5$ stations	132
Figure 7.7 Utilization versus frame error rate for various T_t values, $w=8\text{frames}$, $C=4\text{Mbit/s}$, $l=2\text{Kbytes}$, $W=8$, $m=62$	133
Figure 7.8 Utilization versus frame error rate for various w values, $C=4\text{Mbit/s}$, $l=2\text{Kbytes}$, $T_t=5\text{sec}$, $W=8$, $m=62$, $n=5$ stations	135
Figure 7.9 Utilization versus frame error rate for various w values, $C=4\text{Mbit/s}$, $l=2\text{Kbytes}$, $T_t=5\text{sec}$, $W=8$, $m=62$, $n=5$ stations	135
Figure 7.10 Utilization versus frame error rate for various l values, $C=4\text{Mbit/s}$, $w=4$ frames, $T_t=5\text{sec}$, $W=8$, $m=62$, $n=5$ stations	136
Figure 7.11 Utilization versus frame error rate for various l values, $C=4\text{Mbit/s}$, $w=16$ frames, $T_t=5\text{sec}$, $W=8$, $m=62$, $n=5$ stations	137
Figure 7.12 Utilization and frame error rate versus SNR for various RR values, $C=4\text{Mbit/s}$, $w=8$ frames, $l=2\text{Kbytes}$, $T_t=5\text{sec}$, $W=8$, $m=62$, $n=5$ stations, $ISR=10\%$, $t_n=0.3$, $\alpha=0.75$, $M=16$	140
Figure 7.13 Maximum utilization and frame error rate versus SNR for optimum RR values, $C=4\text{Mbit/s}$, $w=8$ frames, $l=2\text{Kbytes}$, $T_t=5\text{sec}$, $W=8$, $m=62$, $n=5$ stations, $ISR=10\%$, $t_n=0.3$, $\alpha=0.75$, $M=16$	141
Figure 7.14 Maximum utilization and frame error rate versus SNR for optimum RR values, $C=4\text{Mbit/s}$, $w=8$ frames, $l=2\text{Kbytes}$, $T_t=5\text{sec}$, $W=8$, $m=62$, $n=5$ stations, $ISR=10\%$, $t_n=0.3$, $\alpha=0.75$, $M=16$	142
Figure 7.15 Maximum utilization and frame error rate versus SNR for optimum RR values, $C=4\text{Mbit/s}$, $w=8$ frames, $l=2\text{Kbytes}$, $T_t=5\text{sec}$, $W=8$, $m=62$, $n=5$ stations, $ISR=10\%$, $t_n=0.3$, $\alpha=0.75$, $M=16$	143
Figure 7.16 Utilization versus SNR for optimum RR values, $C=4\text{Mbit/s}$, $w=4$ frames, $l=2\text{Kbytes}$, $T_t=5\text{sec}$, $W=8$, $m=62$, $n=5$ stations, $ISR=10\%$, $t_n=0.3$, $\alpha=0.75$, $M=16$	144
Figure 7.17 Utilization versus SNR for optimum RR values, $C=4\text{Mbit/s}$, $w=12$ frames, $l=2\text{Kbytes}$, $T_t=5\text{sec}$, $W=8$, $m=62$, $n=5$ stations, $ISR=10\%$, $t_n=0.3$, $\alpha=0.75$, $M=16$	145

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1 WPAN and WLAN technologies	12
Table 2.2 Infrared versus radio.....	18
Table 3.1: Parameters used in modeling IrLAP utilization	36
Table 3.2: Factors Nt_I and t_{ack} that contribute to t_w for SIR and FIR data rates.....	43
Table 5.1 AIr Physical layer Service Access Point primitives.....	87
Table 6.1 AIr frame and frame element transmission times for $C=4\text{Mbit/s}$ and $RR=1$	98
Table 6.2 AIr timers and time delays	98

ABBREVIATIONS

4PPM/VR	4-slot Pulse Position Modulation with Variable Repetition Rate encoding
ACK	Acknowledgement
AIr	Advanced Infrared
AIr MAC	AIr Medium Access Control
AIr LC	AIr Link Control
AIr LM	AIr Link Manager
AP	Access Point
ARQ	Automatic Repeat reQuest
BER	Bit Error Rate
CA	Collision Avoidance
CAS	Collision Avoidance Slot
CDMA	Code Division Multiple Access
CRC	Cyclic Redundancy Check
CSMA/CA	Carrier Sense Multiple Access with Collision Avoidance
CT	CAS Timer
CTS	Clear To Send
CW	Contention Window
DA	Destination Address
DCF	Distributed Coordination Function
DD	Direct Detection
DSSS	Direct Sequence Spread Spectrum
EOB	End Of Burst
EOBC	End Of Burst Confirm
ETSI	European Telecommunication Standards Institute
F-bit	Final bit
FCS	Frame Check Sequence
FER	Frame Error Rate
FH	Frequency Hopping
FHSS	Frequency Hopping Spread Spectrum
FIR	Fast Infrared
FLACK	Frame Level Acknowledgment
FLACK-M	Frame Level Acknowledgment MAC
FOV	Field Of View
GBN	Go-Back-N
HiperLAN	High Performance Radio LAN
I-frame	Information frame
IrDA	Infrared Data Association
IrLAP	IrDA Link Access Protocol
IM	Intensity Modulation
IR	Infrared
ISM	Industrial / Scientific / Medical
L-PPM	L-slot Pulse Position Modulation
LAN	Local Area Network
LOS	Line Of Sight
MAC	Medium Access Control

MACA	Multiple Access with Collision Avoidance
MBR	Main Body
MT	Mobile Terminal
NoFLACK	No Frame Level Acknowledgment
NoFLACK-ACK	No Frame Level Acknowledgment utilizing LC ACK frames
NDM	Normal Disconnect Mode
NRM	Normal Response Mode
OFDM	Orthogonal Frequency Division Multiplexing
P-bit	Poll bit
P/F bit	Poll/Final bit
PA	Preamble
PAN	Personal Area Network
PCF	Point Coordination Function
PDA	Personal Digital Assistant
PSAP	Physical layer Service Access Point
QoS	Quality of Service
RH	Robust Header
RR	Repetition Rate
RR S-frame	Receive Ready Supervisory frame
RT	Reservation Time
RTS	Request To Send
S-frame	Supervisory frame
SA	Source Address
SEQ-NoFLACK	Sequential No Frame Level Acknowledgment
SIR	Serial Infrared
SNR	Signal-to-Noise Ratio
SREJ	Selective Reject
SYNC	Synchronisation
SW	Stop-and-Wait
TAT	Turn Around Time
TDMA	Time Division Multiple Access
U-frame	Unnumbered frame
VFIR	Very Fast Infrared
VTT	Virtual Transmission Time
WFCTS	Wait For CTS
WLAN	Wireless LAN
WPAN	Wireless Personal Area Network
WTT	Window Transmission Time